

## Message Text

PAGE 01 TEHRAN 03437 150936Z

21

ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 NEA-09 ISO-00 PM-03 FEA-01 CIAE-00

INR-07 IO-10 L-02 NSAE-00 NSC-05 EB-07 NRC-05 OES-03

DODE-00 H-02 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 NSCE-00 SSO-00

USIE-00 INRE-00 SAB-01 SAM-01 /098 W

----- 012861

O R 150848Z APR 75

FM AMEMBASSY TEHRAN

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 1066

INFO USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

S E C R E T TEHRAN 3437

DEPT PASS ERDA FOR SEAMANS

E.O.11652: GDS

TAGS: USIRJC, TECH, IR

SUBJ: DRAFT AGREEMENT ON ATOMIC ENERGY

REF: TEHRAN 3306

1. IT WILL BE NOTED THAT TEXT OF INFORMAL NOTE FROM AEOI TRANSMITTED IN REFTEL DID NOT REPEAT NOT MENTION GOI OBJECTION TO ARTICLE VIII, PARA C OF DRAFT AGREEMENT. IN ORAL COMMENTARIES ON DRAFT BY IRANIAN OFFICIALS, THEY HAVE ALMOST INVARIABLY OBJECTED TO THIS STIPULATION THAT "REPROCESSING, FABRICATION, STORAGE OR ALTERATION SHALL BE PERFORMED IN FACILITIES ACCEPTABLE TO BOTH PARTIES."

2. WITHOUT REFERRING SPECIFICALLY TO THIS PARAGRAPH, SCIATT CONTACTED DR. ARABIAN OF AEOI APRIL 14 TO ASCERTAIN IF TEXT DELIVERED IN REFTEL WAS COORDINATED POSITION OF GOI ON DRAFT AGREEMENT. REASON SCIATT GAVE FOR QUERY WAS THAT USG HAD TO COORDINATE ITS OWN POSITION ON POINTS RAISED BY GOI BEFORE COMING TO TEHRAN FOR FURTHER DISCUSSIONS. ANSWER WAS THAT TEXT HAD BEEN PREPARED ON INSTRUCTIONS OF DR. ETEMAD BUT THAT NEITHER HE NOR  
SECRET

PAGE 02 TEHRAN 03437 150936Z

ARABIAN HAD CHECKED FINAL COPY WHICH WAS DELIVERED TO EMBASSY. ETEMAD WILL DO SO AT EARLIEST OPPORTUNITY. ARABIAN STATED THAT WE MAY ASSUME THAT TEXT AS IT STANDS REPRESENTS CURRENT POSITION OF GOI. HE ADDED THAT THIS DOES NOT EXCLUDE POSSIBILITY THAT OTHER

POINTS MAY BE BROUGHT UP LATER.

3. COMMENT: BELIEVE ABSENCE OF OBJECTION TO PARA C OF ARTICLE 8 WAS PROBABLY INADVERTENT SINCE SOVEREIGN CONTROL OF ENTIRE FUEL CYCLE HAS BEEN A CARDINAL OBJECTIVE OF GOI IN ALL DISCUSSIONS HELD UP TO DATE. WE BELIEVE THEREFORE THAT WE MUST BE PREPARED TO ADDRESS THIS QUESTION HEAD-ON BY FIRST DROPPING OUR INSISTENCE ON A US VETO OVER REPROCESSING IN DOING SO, HOWEVER, WE MIGHT CONSIDER OFFERING TO JOIN GOI IN THE CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION OF A REPROCESSING PLANT IN IRAN AND THROUGH THIS PARTICIPATION ACQUIRE A VOICE IN MANAGEMENT DECISIONS INCLUDING THE SALE OF PLANT OUTPUT. OUR PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT COULD CONTAIN A COMMITMENT THAT THE FACILITY WOULD REMAIN UNDER SAFEGUARDS EVEN THOUGH IT MIGHT BE NATIONALIZED OR EVEN THOUGH IRAN MIGHT WITHDRAW FROM THE NPT.

4. WITH RESPECT TO THE GENERAL PROPOSITION THAT THERE ARE UNACCEPTABLE RISKS TO THE UNITED STATES IN PROVIDING IRAN WITH ENRICHED FUEL, NUCLEAR REACTORS, AND POSSIBLY EVEN A REPROCESSING FACILITY WITHOUT PROVIDING FOR SAFEGUARDS WHICH GO BEYOND THOSE CONTAINED IN US AGREEMENTS WITH OTHER NPT SIGNATORIES, WE WOULD NOTE THE FOLLOWING:

(A) APART FROM BEING AN EARLY SIGNATORY TO THE NPT, IRAN HAS CONSISTENTLY, AND WE THINK SINCERELY, PRESSED FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF THE NUCLEAR FREE ZONE IN THIS PART OF THE WORLD.

(B) IT NOW HAS ALTERNATIVE SUPPLIER OPTIONS WHICH IT COULD TURN TO WITH AN ESTIMATED LOSS TO THE UNITED STATES OF \$6 TO 8 BILLION FOR NUCLEAR REACTORS, FUEL SUPPLY AND A POSSIBLE CONTRIBUTION TO A URANIUM ENRICHMENT FACILITY.

(C) INSISTENCE ON SAFEGUARDS AND/OR CONTROLS BEYOND THOSE APPLICABLE TO OTHER NPT COUNTRIES WOULD CLEARLY BE REGARDED AS AN AFFRONT TO THE SHAH'S INTEGRITY, AN ENCROACHMENT ON NATIONAL SOVEREIGNTY AND A LACK OF GOOD FAITH WHICH COULD BRING INTO QUESTION THE WHOLE RANGE OF OUR CLOSE AND MUTUAL ADVANTAGEOUS TIES WITH IRAN.

SECRET

PAGE 03 TEHRAN 03437 150936Z

(D) GIVEN AVAILABLE ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS WHOSE DEVELOPMENT IS LIKELY TO BE ACCELERATED RAPIDLY IF THE US OPTS OUT OF THE NUCLEAR SUPPLY FIELD, WE BELIEVE THE PREFERRED COURSE OF ACTION IS TO ENTER INTO A CLOSE RELATIONSHIP WITH IRAN WHICH WOULD HAVE US AS ITS PRINCIPAL SUPPLIER FOR FUEL AND PLANT. ONCE SO SITUATED, OUR ULTIMATE SANCTION AGAINST AN IRANIAN DESIRE TO DEVELOP A NUCLEAR WEAPON CAPABILITY WOULD BE THE CUTOFF OF FUEL AND PLANT MAINTENANCE AND REPLACEMENT PARTS. SUCH A SANCTION, AFFECTING IRAN'S MOST VITAL INTERESTS IN A SITUATION IN WHICH A SUBSTANTIAL PART OF ITS ECONOMY WAS DEPENDENT ON THE CONTINUED OPERATION OF ITS NUCLEAR ENERGY PLANTS, WOULD COMPLEMENT AND REINFORCE THE OTHER DETERRENTS BUILT INTO NPT, IAEA AND THE BILATERAL AGREEMENT.

5. AS WE REVIEW THE RANGE OF IMPORTANT SUBSTANTIVE ACHIEVEMENTS THAT MIGHT BE THE CENTERPIECE OF THE SHAH'S FORTHCOMING VISIT TO WASHINGTON, A NUCLEAR COOPERATION AGREEMENT SEEMS BY FAR THE MOST DESIRABLE AND FEASIBLE. WE CAN WELL APPRCIATE THE CONGRESSIONAL AND PUBLIC RELATONS DELICACY OF THIS MATTER AND WOULD CERTAINLY URGE THE MOST CAREFUL GROUNDWORK BE LAID TO ENSURE A FAVORABLE REACTION TO THE ANNOUNCEMENT OF THE NUCLEAR COOPERATION AGREEMENT. IF IT IS UNLIKELY THAT SUCH GROUNDWORK CAN BE LAID BEFORE THE SHAH'S VISIT, WE BELIEVE IT SUFFICIENT TO HIS AND OUR PURPOSES THAT WE REACH AGREEMENT PRIVATELY DURING THE VISIT. CONGRESSIONAL AND PUBLIC RELATIONS ACTIVITIES COULD FOLLOW.

HELMS

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 26 AUG 1999  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** AGREEMENT DRAFT, NUCLEAR ENERGY, GOVERNMENT REACTIONS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 15 APR 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** GolinoFR  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975TEHRAN03437  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** 11652 GDS  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** D750130-1126  
**From:** TEHRAN  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t197504102/baaaaefm.tel  
**Line Count:** 124  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION ACDA  
**Original Classification:** SECRET  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** SECRET  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** GolinoFR  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 09 APR 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <09 APR 2003 by ShawDG>; APPROVED <14 APR 2003 by GolinoFR>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
05 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** n/a  
**TAGS:** EGEN, TECH, IR, USIRJC  
**To:** STATE INFO EC BRUSSELS  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006